RUSSIA'S "DENAZIFICATION" CLAIM IS A LIE

A Comprehensive Debunking with Citations

Despelunker Lightbound / AI Research
2026

Author's note: I am not Ukrainian, nor do I speak the language fluently. My perspective is that of a non-Ukrainian ally who has studied Russia's imperial history and the war. My goal is not to speak for Ukrainians, but to amplify their voices and help Western audiences recognise the fraudulent nature of Russia's "denazification" pretext. What follows is solidarity, not substitution. This report was compiled with the research assistance of an LLM and is intended as a citable reference document.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary 2
1. The Claim and Its Official Meaning 2
  1.1 What Putin Said 2
  1.2 What Russia Actually Means by "Denazification" 3
2. Ukraine's Electoral Reality 3
  2.1 A Jewish President Elected by Landslide 3
  2.2 Far-Right Electoral Irrelevance 4
3. How Russia Redefines "Nazism" 4
  3.1 The Soviet Inheritance 4
  3.2 The Operational Definition: "Nazi = Ukrainian" 5
  3.3 A Pattern Across Borders 5
4. Russia Deployed Neo-Nazis to Conduct Its "Denazification" 6
  4.1 The German Intelligence Finding 6
  4.2 Task Force Rusich 6
  4.3 The Russian Imperial Movement 6
  4.4 Wagner Group's Neo-Nazi Ties 6
5. The Global Neo-Nazi Alignment 7
6. Russia Bombed Actual Holocaust Memorials 7
  6.1 Babyn Yar — 1 March 2022 7
  6.2 Drobytsky Yar — 26 March 2022 8
7. The Far Right in Ukraine: An Honest Assessment 8
  7.1 What Is True 8
  7.2 Essential Context 8
  7.3 The Biletsky–Prokopenko Distinction 8
    Andriy Biletsky — a genuine concern 9
    Denys "Redis" Prokopenko — a substantially different case 9
  7.4 What This Actually Proves 9

7.5 The Leahy Law Verdict....10
8. Russia's Own Far-Right Ecosystem....10
9. Conclusion....10
Bibliography....11
Primary Sources....11
Intelligence and Government Assessments....11
Academic and Think-Tank Sources....12
Media and Journalism....12
Companion Report....13

Executive Summary

On 24 February 2022, Vladimir Putin announced a "special military operation" to "demilitarise and denazify" Ukraine. This report demonstrates, with extensive evidence and citations, that the "denazification" claim is fraudulent at every level of analysis.

Eight interlocking lines of evidence establish this conclusion:

- Ukraine elected a Jewish president — Volodymyr Zelensky — by a 73% landslide in 2019. His great-uncles were murdered in the Holocaust.
- Ukraine's far-right parties have never held power. In 2019 their united list received 2.15% of the parliamentary vote. They have not cleared the 5% threshold since.
- Russia defines "Nazi" to mean any Ukrainian who refuses to accept being Russian — a definition that has nothing to do with actual Nazism.
- Russia's own military deployed neo-Nazi formations — Rusich and the Russian Imperial Legion — to conduct its "denazification." Germany's BND intelligence service explicitly called this "absurd."
- Globally, no neo-Nazi organisation has declared support for Ukraine. They overwhelmingly support Russia (documented in the companion report Nazis Support Russia, 2026).
- Russia bombed the Babyn Yar Holocaust memorial site in the first week of the invasion, killing five people.
- The "denazification" framework, as spelled out in Russian state media in April 2022, is a blueprint for the erasure of Ukrainian identity — not a counter-extremism programme.
- Russia has a documented history of weaponising the "fascist" label against any government that resists its domination, from Georgia (2008) to Ukraine (2014–present).

1. The Claim and Its Official Meaning

1.1 What Putin Said

On 24 February 2022, Putin announced that the goals of the invasion were “the demilitarisation and denazification of Ukraine.” He claimed Ukraine was led by “far-right nationalists and neo-Nazis” and that Russian-speaking populations in the Donbas faced “genocide.”

These claims were immediately and uniformly rejected by historians, fact-checkers, and governments across the political spectrum. FactCheck.org, the Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe (CSCE), and RAND Corporation all published detailed rebuttals within weeks of the invasion.

1.2 What Russia Actually Means by “Denazification”

The most revealing document is an article published on 3 April 2022 by Russian state news agency RIA Novosti, written by Kremlin-aligned ideologue Timofey Sergeytsev. Titled “What Russia Should Do With Ukraine,” it remains publicly accessible and has been translated into English multiple times. It constitutes what Yale historian Timothy Snyder called a “genocide handbook.”

The article states, in its own words:

> *“Denazification is necessary when a significant part of the people — most likely the majority — has been mastered and drawn into the Nazi policy in its politics. That is, when the hypothesis ‘the people are good — the government is bad’ does not work.”*
>
> *“The political elite must be eliminated, its re-education is impossible.”*
>
> *“Further denazification of this mass of the population consists in re-education, which is achieved by ideological repression (suppression) of Nazi attitudes and strict censorship: not only in the political sphere, but also necessarily in the sphere of culture and education.”*
>
> *“The name of Ukraine cannot be kept... Ukraine as history has shown is impossible as a nation-state.”*
>
> *“The denazified country cannot be sovereign. The denazifying state — Russia — cannot proceed from a liberal approach to denazification.”*
>
> *“Denazification cannot be carried out in less than one generation.”*

Snyder’s analysis of this document is unambiguous: “The handbook grants, with no hesitation, that there is no evidence that Nazism, as generally understood, is important in Ukraine. It operates within the special Russian definition of ‘Nazi’: a Nazi is a Ukrainian who refuses to admit being a Russian.”

The article was not a fringe opinion piece. It was published by Russia’s official state news agency, subsequently debated approvingly on state television, and has never been retracted or disavowed.

Sources: RIA Novosti (Sergeytsev, 3 April 2022); Timothy Snyder, “Russia’s Genocide Handbook,” Substack, 8 April 2022; CSCE, “Debunking Denazification,” October 2023; FactCheck.org, March 2022; RAND, “The Denazify Lie,” January 2025.

2. Ukraine's Electoral Reality

2.1 A Jewish President Elected by Landslide

Volodymyr Zelensky was elected President of Ukraine on 21 April 2019 with 73.22% of the vote, winning every oblast except Lviv. It was the largest landslide in the history of Ukrainian presidential elections. He was the first Jewish president of Ukraine.

Zelensky was born in 1978 into a Russian-speaking Jewish family in Kryvyi Rih, eastern Ukraine. Three of his grandfather's brothers were murdered in the Holocaust. His grandfather was the sole survivor. As Zelensky said at Yad Vashem in 2020: "Three of them, their parents and their families became victims of the Holocaust. All of them were shot by German occupiers who invaded Ukraine. The fourth brother survived… Two years after the war, he had a son, and in 31 years, he had a grandson. In 40 more years, that grandson became president."

For several months in 2019, Ukraine was the only country outside Israel to simultaneously have both a Jewish president and a Jewish prime minister (Volodymyr Groysman, PM 2016–2019).

Zelensky's Jewishness was not an electoral issue among Ukrainian voters. The Penn/Katz Center noted this was "something implausible in any other country in Eurasia." His Jewish identity was subsequently weaponised not by Ukrainian voters, but by Putin.

2.2 Far-Right Electoral Irrelevance

Ukraine's far-right parties have consistently failed to clear the 5% parliamentary threshold needed to enter the Verkhovna Rada:

Election	Parties	Combined vote	Seats won
2012 parliamentary	Svoboda (alone)	10.44%	37 (threshold cleared)
2014 parliamentary	Svoboda + Right Sector	~5.3% combined	0 (both below threshold)
2019 presidential	Far-right united candidate	1.62%	N/A
2019 parliamentary	Svoboda + Right Sector + National Corps (united list)	2.15%	0 (no seats)

For context: 2.15% is roughly equal to or below what single far-right parties receive in many established European democracies. Zelensky's own Servant of the People party won 43% and an outright parliamentary majority.

Sources: Jamestown Foundation, "Ukrainian Voters Upend Their Parliament," 2019; ResearchGate, "Vote Shares of Major Ukrainian Far-Right Parties," 2020; Freedom House, "Far-Right Extremism as a Threat to Ukrainian Democracy," 2018; New Eastern Europe, March 2019; My Jewish Learning; Penn/Katz Center for Advanced Judaic Studies; Atlantic Council; Britannica.

3. How Russia Redefines “Nazism”

3.1 The Soviet Inheritance

Russia’s ability to call a Jewish-led democracy “Nazi” rests on a specific Soviet distortion of history that has never been corrected — and has since been weaponised.

During and after World War II, Soviet policy systematically erased Jewish specificity from the Holocaust. Victims were relabelled as generic “Soviet citizens” or “peaceful Soviet people.” The Nuremberg-era understanding of Nazism as a programme of racial extermination targeting Jews above all was suppressed. As Cold War scholar Izabella Tabarovsky has noted, “The Soviets systematically denied that Jews were particular targets of Nazi atrocities.”

A 2020 survey found that 48% of respondents in eleven Russian cities were unable to answer the question “What is the Holocaust?” (Jerusalem Post, 2020). This is the cultural soil in which Putin’s “denazification” claim grows.

The Byline Times analysis puts it directly: “While in the West, the term Nazi has a clear connotation of antisemitism, this has not been the case in Russia. The main crime of Nazi Germany, from the Russian point of view, was instead unleashing World War Two and killing millions of Soviet citizens.”

This redefinition is not accidental. It enables Russia to call any government that resists it “Nazi” — regardless of whether that government is led by Jewish people, protects minority rights, or has democratic credentials.

3.2 The Operational Definition: “Nazi = Ukrainian”

As Timothy Snyder demonstrates through close reading of the Sergeytsev/RIA Novosti document, Russia’s working definition of “Nazi” in the Ukrainian context is: a Ukrainian who refuses to accept being Russian.

The document explicitly acknowledges that Nazism in the conventional sense is not present in Ukraine. It then proceeds to redefine it: Ukrainian national identity itself is “Nazism.” The desire for EU membership is “Nazism.” Ukrainian cultural expression is “Nazism.” Any assertion of Ukrainian distinctness from Russia is “Nazism.”

Snyder’s conclusion: “On this absurd definition, where Nazis have to be Ukrainians and Ukrainians have to be Nazis, Russia cannot be fascist, no matter what Russians do. This is very convenient. If ‘Nazi’ has been assigned the meaning ‘Ukrainian who refuses to be Russian’ then it follows that no Russian can be a Nazi.”

3.3 A Pattern Across Borders

Russia’s use of the “fascist” smear against governments it targets predates Ukraine. In 2008, before and during the invasion of Georgia, Russian state media and nationalist politicians called President Saakashvili “fascist” and compared him to Hitler. As the Spravdi Centre documented, Russian state TV declared Georgia “a fascist state” on August 11, 2008, while Russian forces were conducting what the European Court of Human Rights would later find to involve ethnic cleansing.

The pattern is consistent: any government pursuing Western integration, democratic development, or sovereignty from Russian dominance is liable to receive the “Nazi” or “fascist” label, regardless of its actual political character.

Sources: Timothy Snyder, Substack, 2022 and 2024; Byline Times, March 2022; CSCE, October 2023; Indiana University ISCA; US State Department, "More than a Century of Antisemitism," January 2024; Ukrainian Jewish Encounter, 2018; Jerusalem Post (survey); Spravdi.org; Pulitzer Center (Georgia 2008).

4. Russia Deployed Neo-Nazis to Conduct Its "Denazification"

4.1 The German Intelligence Finding

In May 2022, Germany's Federal Intelligence Service (BND) submitted a classified report to German ministries documenting that at least two neo-Nazi groups were actively fighting for Russian forces in Ukraine: the Russian Imperial Legion (paramilitary arm of the Russian Imperial Movement) and Task Force Rusich.

The BND report, cited by Der Spiegel, was unambiguous: their involvement "makes the ostensible reason for war, the so-called 'denazification' of Ukraine, absurd." This is not an advocacy organisation's opinion. It is the formal assessment of a NATO member's foreign intelligence service.

4.2 Task Force Rusich

Rusich is a neo-Nazi paramilitary group founded in 2014 by Alexei Milchakov and Yan Petrovsky, who met at a Russian Imperial Movement training programme. The group openly embraces Nazi symbolism and racial ideology. Milchakov and Petrovsky were photographed in the BND report displaying swastika flags and making Hitler salutes.

Rusich has been involved in Russian combat operations in Ukraine since 2014, including documented atrocities — mutilating bodies, posting trophy photographs with dead Ukrainian soldiers, and accusations of torturing prisoners of war.

In September 2022, the US Department of the Treasury sanctioned Rusich, formally designating it as "a neo-Nazi paramilitary group that has participated in combat alongside Russia's military in Ukraine."

4.3 The Russian Imperial Movement

The Russian Imperial Movement (RIM) was designated a terrorist organisation by the United States in April 2020 — making it the first white supremacist group to receive that designation. RIM runs paramilitary training programmes in St Petersburg and has sent fighters to Ukraine since 2014.

On the day Russia launched its full-scale invasion, RIM's leader Denis Gariyev posted on Telegram: "Without a doubt, we are in favour of the liquidation of the separatist entity Ukraine."

4.4 Wagner Group's Neo-Nazi Ties

The Wagner Group, Russia's most prominent private military contractor during the war, has extensive far-right and neo-Nazi connections among its founding membership. Many founding members also belong to the Russian Imperial Movement. Wagner operatives in Ukraine spray-

painted swastikas and SS lightning bolts as graffiti. The American Jewish Committee documented Wagner's affiliation with the "world's most infamous neo-Nazis."

Russia's own military and political leadership welcomed these groups and worked with them, while simultaneously claiming to fight Nazism.

Sources: Der Spiegel / BND report, May 2022; The Moscow Times, May 2022; Haaretz, May 2022; RFERL, "Who Are The Neo-Nazis Fighting For Russia In Ukraine?" 2022; Soufan Center, May 2025; AJC (Wagner); West Point CTC, October 2023; RUSI, August 2024; US Treasury sanctions designation, September 2022; US State Department terrorist designation, April 2020; Flashpoint intelligence; UkraineWorld, May 2023.

5. The Global Neo-Nazi Alignment

Outside Ukraine, no neo-Nazi organisation in any country has declared support for Ukraine. The companion report Nazis Support Russia (Despelunker Lightbound / AI Research, Archive.org, 2026) documents this finding across every continent, covering over 60 organisations.

The pattern is consistent and geographically universal:

- Europe: Nordic Resistance Movement, Der III. Weg, CasaPound, Forza Nuova — all pro-Russia.
- North America: Atomwaffen Division, The Base, Daily Stormer — all pro-Russia.
- Latin America, Asia, Africa: groups are either explicitly pro-Russia or "neutral" with anti-NATO framing that aligns with Russian interests.

The Soufan Center summarised the strategic implication: "Russia's 'denazification' claim is inverted reality: neo-Nazi groups worldwide tilt toward Moscow, not Kyiv."

This is not incidental. Russia has cultivated far-right movements across the West for decades as part of its influence operations. Ukraine's defence, by contrast, is supported by mainstream democratic governments, civil society organisations, and NATO allies.

Source: Nazis Support Russia, Despelunker Lightbound / AI Research, Archive.org identifier nazis-support-russia, January 2026.

6. Russia Bombed Actual Holocaust Memorials

Russia's actions on the ground provide a definitive refutation of its anti-Nazi posture. Within one week of the invasion, Russian forces struck two of Ukraine's most significant Holocaust memorial sites.

6.1 Babyn Yar — 1 March 2022

On 1 March 2022, Russian missiles struck the site of Babyn Yar in Kyiv. Babyn Yar is where Nazi forces massacred between 33,771 and 100,000 people between 1941 and 1943 — the largest single mass shooting in Holocaust history. The attack targeted a nearby television tower, causing damage to the adjacent memorial complex and Jewish cemetery. Five people were killed.

Zelensky responded: "To the world: what is the point of saying 'never again' for 80 years, if the world stays silent when a bomb drops on the same site of Babyn Yar? History repeating…"

Yad Vashem, Israel's official Holocaust memorial authority, issued a formal condemnation, explicitly naming Russia for the attack. Natan Sharansky, chairman of the Babyn Yar Holocaust Memorial Center, said Putin was "seeking to distort and manipulate Holocaust memory."

6.2 Drobytsky Yar — 26 March 2022

On 26 March 2022, Russian strikes near Kharkiv destroyed the Holocaust memorial at Drobytsky Yar — the site where over 15,000 Jews were murdered by Nazi forces in 1941–42.

Ukraine's embassy in Israel wrote: "Another memorial of the Holocaust victims was destroyed by Russian barbarians in Ukraine… Russians are repeating the Nazis' crimes, again and again and again!"

As Timothy Snyder had predicted: "No tears are shed in the Kremlin over Russian killing of Holocaust survivors or Russian destruction of Holocaust memorials, because Jews and the Holocaust have nothing to do with the Russian definition of 'Nazi.'"

Sources: Jerusalem Post, 1 March 2022; NPR, 1 March 2022; The Art Newspaper, 2 March 2022; Times of Israel, 2 March 2022 and 27 March 2022; The Jewish Chronicle, 2 March 2022; Yad Vashem statement; Timothy Snyder, Substack.

7. The Far Right in Ukraine: An Honest Assessment

An intellectually credible report must address the strongest version of Russia's argument, not just the weakest. This section does so.

7.1 What Is True

Ukraine has far-right groups. Some had genuine neo-Nazi origins. Some individuals from those milieux received institutional positions in 2014–2015, during the emergency of a partial state collapse and an ongoing Russian military invasion. These facts are real and documented.

The most significant case is Interior Minister Arsen Avakov (2014–2021), who had a documented patronage relationship with Azov founder Andriy Biletsky. In October 2014 Avakov appointed Vadym Troyan — Azov's former deputy commander — as Kyiv Oblast police chief. The appointment was criticised at the time by Ukraine's chief rabbi Yaakov Bleich, the Kharkiv Human Rights Protection Group, and the Simon Wiesenthal Center. The G7 wrote formally to Avakov about far-right intimidation of voters in 2019.

7.2 Essential Context

These appointments occurred during the 2014 emergency in which Ukraine's state structures had partially collapsed and volunteer fighters — including those from far-right backgrounds — were among the only forces available to resist a Russian military invasion. The integration of Azov into the National Guard in November 2014 was intended to bring it under state oversight.

By 2019, far-right parties' combined parliamentary vote was 2.15% — well below the 5% threshold, yielding zero seats. The electoral system, functioning normally, had marginalised them.

In July 2021, President Zelensky removed Avakov from his post, eliminating the main institutional link between the Interior Ministry and far-right networks. This happened seven months before the invasion.

7.3 The Biletsky–Prokopenko Distinction

As of 2025–2026, two significant figures from the Azov movement hold senior military positions, and they must be assessed separately.

Andriy Biletsky — a genuine concern

Biletsky's past is not deniable. In 2010 he stated Ukraine's mission was to "lead the white races of the world in a final crusade against Semite-led Untermenschen." He wrote a pamphlet titled The Word of the White Leader. He founded explicitly neo-Nazi organisations before pivoting to Azov in 2014.

From 2016 to 2022 Biletsky operated primarily as a civilian politician, running the National Corps — a far-right political party that never cleared the parliamentary threshold. He returned to military service after the 2022 invasion, commanding the 3rd Assault Brigade with distinction, and in September 2025 was promoted by Zelensky to Brigadier General, commanding the 3rd Army Corps (20,000 soldiers, 150km of frontline).

Biletsky has been running an active image rehabilitation campaign since 2022, presenting himself primarily as a wartime commander. Western media coverage of his battlefield performance has often been sympathetic, with his earlier ideological record receiving less scrutiny. The Centre for Eastern Studies (OSW) in Warsaw, a highly credible independent think tank, described him in February 2026 as "one of the most influential and prominent leaders of the Ukrainian nationalist movement" and noted his political ambitions.

This promotion is a legitimate concern. It does not, however, constitute evidence that Ukraine is a "Nazi state." It reflects the reality that a country fighting for its survival promotes commanders based on battlefield effectiveness. Biletsky's political movement remains electorally marginal. The man who promoted him is a Jewish president whose family members died in the Holocaust.

Denys "Redis" Prokopenko — a substantially different case

Prokopenko joined Azov in 2014 as an ordinary volunteer fighter, not as an ideologue or founder of neo-Nazi organisations. His background was as a football ultra. He rose through military merit alone, becoming the youngest commander in National Guard history by 2017 at age 26. He commanded the legendary 86-day defence of Mariupol at Azovstal in 2022, endured 124 days in Russian captivity, was tortured, and returned to command immediately upon release.

He was awarded Hero of Ukraine — Ukraine's highest military honour. He has consistently focused on military professionalism and institutional reform. In late 2025 he published a 12-point programme for army reform described as technocratic and efficiency-focused. He now commands the 1st Azov Corps within the National Guard.

Multiple independent analysts, including OSW, distinguish Prokopenko sharply from Biletsky as a military professional without active political extremism. The split between the Biletsky and Prokopenko factions — documented by OSW and Eastern Brief in 2025–2026, and reportedly including a physical assault on a Prokopenko-aligned officer by Biletsky affiliates — itself demonstrates that the Azov universe is not monolithic.

7.4 What This Actually Proves

Even taking the most critical reading of the above at face value, it establishes:

- Far-right influence was concentrated in one ministry under one minister during a specific emergency period — not state-wide ideological capture.

- Ukraine's democratic system corrected it: through elections (far right consistently below threshold), institutional change (Avakov's removal), and international pressure.
- The state's Jewish president, Jewish prime minister, majority parliament, and international partners all operated entirely outside the "Nazi" framing.
- Russia, by contrast, deliberately deployed actual neo-Nazi formations — Rusich and the Russian Imperial Legion — and welcomed their participation. The state did not marginalise them; it armed them and fought alongside them.

The distinction between "a democracy that has far-right fringe elements that it imperfectly manages" and "a Nazi state" is not a subtle one. Every European democracy has the former. None constitutes the latter.

7.5 The Leahy Law Verdict

In June 2024, the United States Department of State lifted its decade-old ban on providing weapons and training to the Azov Brigade under the Leahy Law — which prohibits US military assistance to foreign units with credible evidence of gross human rights violations. After thorough review, the State Department found "no evidence of Gross Violations of Human Rights committed by the 12th Azov Brigade."

The State Department added: "Russian disinformation has actively worked to discredit the unit. They have long tried to conflate Ukraine's National Guard Unit of 12th Special Forces Brigade Azov with a militia formed to defend Ukraine against Russia's invasion in 2014, called the 'Azov Battalion.' That militia disbanded in 2015 and the Special Forces Brigade Azov is unrelated to that militia."

Sources: Freedom House, 2018; Atlantic Council, 2019; OSW, February 2026; Eastern Brief, August 2025; Jamestown Foundation; Monash University/Lens; CNN (Azov integration); RFERL (Avakov resignation); Meduza; OSW (Avakov); US State Department / Leahy Law, June 2024; CBS News; BBC; Kyiv Independent; Mapping Militants Project / Stanford.

8. Russia's Own Far-Right Ecosystem

Putin's Russia has cultivated far-right and neo-Nazi groups domestically for years — not despite its "anti-fascist" posture, but as an intelligence tool. The Conversation documented the Kremlin's co-option of the neo-Nazi group Russkii Obraz from 2008–2009 to attack pro-democracy opposition activists. RO was granted "privileged access to public space and the media" and its leaders held televised discussions with state functionaries.

The Atlantic Council noted: "The rampant nationalism of the Putin era has been accompanied by growing militarism… Prior to the current full-scale invasion of Ukraine, the country had waged a series of wars in Chechnya, Georgia, eastern Ukraine and Syria."

Russia's own domestic far-right scene is extensive. Its neo-Nazi problem is not theoretical but operational — documented in real deployments, real atrocities, and real ideology. As the Soufan Center put it: "Despite overtures of 'denazifying' Ukraine, Russia has relied on neo-Nazi groups in several conflict zones."

Sources: The Conversation, "Putin's Fascists," May 2025; Atlantic Council, April 2022; Soufan Center, May 2025; UkraineWorld; RFERL.

9. Conclusion

Russia's "denazification" claim fails at every level of scrutiny.

- It was announced by a government that deployed actual neo-Nazi formations to conduct it.
- It was directed at a country led by a Jewish president elected by the largest landslide in its history.
- It was articulated, in Russian state media, as a blueprint for erasing Ukrainian identity — not for combating extremism.
- It relies on a Soviet-era redefinition of "Nazism" that strips the term of its historical meaning and repurposes it to mean any Ukrainian who refuses to accept Russian dominance.
- It has been assessed by Germany's BND intelligence service as rendered "absurd" by Russia's own military conduct.
- It is contradicted by the universal alignment of the world's neo-Nazi organisations with Russia, not Ukraine.
- It is contradicted by Russia bombing Europe's largest Holocaust massacre site in the first week of the war.

Ukraine's far-right fringe is real, documented, and imperfectly managed — as is true of every European democracy. Its electoral weight is negligible. Its institutional influence has diminished over time through democratic processes. It does not remotely constitute the "Nazi regime" Russia describes.

Russia's "denazification" is not a counter-extremism operation. It is a colonial war of erasure, conducted in part by actual neo-Nazis, directed against a pluralist democracy whose Jewish president's family members died in the Holocaust Russia claims to be avenging.

Bibliography

Primary Sources

- Sergeytsev, T. (2022, April 3). "What Russia Should Do With Ukraine." RIA Novosti. [Translated and archived widely; original removed from main page.]
- Putin, V. (2022, February 24). Address announcing "special military operation." Kremlin.
- US Department of the Treasury. (2022, September). Sanctions designation: Task Force Rusich. OFAC.
- US State Department. (2020, April). Terrorist designation: Russian Imperial Movement. Federal Register.
- US State Department. (2024, June). Leahy Law vetting result: 12th Azov Brigade. Statement.
- US State Department. (2024, January). More than a Century of Antisemitism: How Successive Occupants of the Kremlin Have Used Antisemitism.

Intelligence and Government Assessments

- BND (German Federal Intelligence Service) report cited in: Der Spiegel, May 22, 2022. “Russian Neo-Nazis Participate in ‘Denazifying’ Ukraine.”
- US State Department. (2023). Disinformation Roulette: The Kremlin’s Year of Lies.
- CSCE (Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe). (2023, October). “Debunking Denazification.”

Academic and Think-Tank Sources

- Snyder, T. (2022, April 8). “Russia’s Genocide Handbook.” Substack. snyder.substack.com
- Snyder, T. (2024, February). “Putin’s Genocidal Myth.” Substack.
- OSW (Centre for Eastern Studies, Warsaw). (2026, February 11). “Forging a Nationalist Model Army: The Political Prospects of the Azov Movement.” osw.waw.pl
- OSW. (2021, July 15). “Ukraine: Resignation of Avakov, the ‘Eternal’ Minister.”
- RAND Corporation. (2025, January). The Denazify Lie: Russia’s Use of Extremist Narratives Against Ukraine.
- West Point CTC. (2023, October). “The Russian Imperial Movement in the Ukraine Wars.”
- RUSI. (2024, August). After Wagner: Non-State Groups Fighting in Ukraine.
- Freedom House. (2018). Far-Right Extremism as a Threat to Ukrainian Democracy.
- Atlantic Council. Various, 2022–2026. atlanticcouncil.org
- Jamestown Foundation. Various, 2019–2025. jamestown.org
- Soufan Center. (2025, May 8). Russia’s Links to Neo-Nazi Terrorist Groups.
- Katz Center for Advanced Judaic Studies, University of Pennsylvania. (2022). “The Ironies of History: The Ukraine Crisis through the Lens of Jewish History.”
- Indiana University ISCA. (2024). “Why Putin’s Russia Slides Again into the Trap of Antisemitism.”
- Ukrainian Jewish Encounter. (2018). “How the Soviet Union Suppressed the Holocaust.”
- Byline Times. (2022, March 21). “What Does ‘De-Nazification’ Mean to Russians?”
- Mapping Militants Project, Stanford University. “Azov Battalion.” cisac.fsi.stanford.edu

Media and Journalism

- FactCheck.org. (2022, March 31). “The Facts on ‘De-Nazifying’ Ukraine.”
- NBC News. (2022, February 24). Putin claims denazification to justify attack.
- RFERL. (2022). “Who Are The Neo-Nazis Fighting For Russia In Ukraine?” rferl.org
- UkraineWorld. (2023, May). “The Role of Russian Neo-Nazi Groups in the War Against Ukraine.”
- Monash University Lens. (2022, August). “Much Azov About Nothing: The ‘Ukrainian Neo-Nazis’ Canard.”
- AJC (American Jewish Committee). “What You Need to Know About the Wagner Group.” ajc.org
- The Conversation. (2025, May). “Putin’s Fascists: The Russian State’s Long History of Cultivating Homegrown Neo-Nazis.”

- Jerusalem Post. (2022, March 1). Russia strikes Babyn Yar Holocaust memorial site.
- NPR. (2022, March 1). Russia bombards a Kyiv TV tower and Babyn Yar.
- Times of Israel. (2022, March 2 and March 27). Babyn Yar; Drobytsky Yar.
- Spravdi.org. (2023). “Fascists, Beasts, Puppets of the United States…” 10 propaganda techniques, Georgia and Ukraine.
- Eastern Brief. (2025, August). “The Azov Divorce.” easternbrief.substack.com
- New Eastern Europe. (2019, March). “Ukraine’s Far Right: Unclear Prospects.”
- Kyiv Post. (2014–2025). Various.

Companion Report

- Despelunker Lightbound / AI Research. (2026). Nazis Support Russia. Archive.org identifier: nazis-support-russia. https://archive.org/details/nazis-support-russia

This report is published under Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs 4.0 International.